

THE EFFECT OF SMALLER RESIDUAL STONES AFTER MINIMAL **ASIVE ENDOUROLOGY PROCEDURES FOR RENAL STONES: PROSPECTIVE STUDY.**

INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVE

The new era in urology with the advent of minimally invasive surgical techniques has helped in reduction of morbidity associated with surgical treatment of renal stones. Nevertheless, there is lack of agreement as to what has to be done to residual stones, as it has been known that these fragments might have the potential to cause complications after these elective procedures.

The objective of our study was to evaluate the natural course followed by residual stones after percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) or flexible ureterorenoscopy (F-URS) procedures for treatment of kidney calculi.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Total of 373 patients were submitted to prospective follow-up after elective endoscopic procedures such as PCNL and **URS for treatment of kidney stones. The** presence and features of residual fragments was followed on radiologic scans and the frequency of revisiting hospital for complications or the necessity of additional procedures were sorted out.

The overall stone-free rate was 76.3% in F-URS and 67.7% in PCNL. The natural history of 257 patients having residual stone fragments was followed. There was spontaneous passage of these fragments in 13.4% (34) of cases. While 18.2% (47 patients) developed pain or colicky symptoms, 10.5% (26 patients) had complications such as readmission for pain, fever and Urinary tract infection. Total of the 257 patients followed, 16.7% (43 subjects) needed a next surgical procedure. The residual stones larger than 4mm had more complications (p<0.003), more recurrence of pain symptoms (p=0.04) and need for additional surgeries (p<0.002) as compared to the stones of smaller than 4mm in size. Number of the residual fragments even less than 4 mm were more prone to the complications. Size and number of the residual fragments and the multi-calicial distribution were strong predictors of the development of new symptoms and the need of surgical re-intervention. (p=0.04).

varia

Residua <4mm Residual >4mm Number residual

Locatior residual

RESULTS

bles	Spontaneous passage	Pain symptoms	Re-admissions	Additional procedure	Residual Stone score Criteria
l stones	23(67.6%)	14(29.7)	5(19.4%)	5(11.6%)	<4mm=0
l stones	11(32.3%)	33(70.2%)	21(80.7%)	38(88.3%)	>4mm=1
r of stones	Single(69.8%)	19(40.4%)	9(34.6%)	11(25.5%)	One fragment=0
	Multi(30.2%)	28(59.5%)	17(65.3%)	32(74.4%)	> One fragment=1
ns stones	Lower(9.3%)	3(6.3%)	2(7.6%)	6(13.9%)	Lower pole=0
	Other(91.7%)	44(93.6%)	24(92.3%)	37(86%)	Other pole=1

dr_nadeemiqbal84@yahoo.com





SUMMARY / CONCLUSION

Residual stones after endourological procedures for renal stones might cause morbidity and complications. Number and size of stone fragments and poles involved have vital role in these complications.

Residual Stone	Additior
score	procedu
0	0%
1	7(16.2
2	15(34.
3	21(48.

REFERENCES

J Endourol. 2018 Dec;32(12):1100-1107. doi: 10.1089/end.2018.0177. Epub 2018 Oct 18. **Unplanned 30-Day Encounters After** Ureterorenoscopy for Urolithiasis. Du K¹, Wang RS², Vetter J¹, Paradis AG¹, Figenshau <u>RS¹</u>, <u>Venkatesh R¹</u>, <u>Desai AC¹</u>.

2. Matthew D'Costa,, Vernon M. Pais, Andrew D. Rule. Leave No Stone Unturned: Definir **Recurrence in Kidney Stone Formers. Cu** Nephrol Hypertens. 2019





