Preventing Unnecessary Pituitary MRIs in Hypogonadal and Infertile Men with Hyperprolactinemia: Cost Savings using a Novel Prolactin to Testosterone Ratio

Cleveland Clinic

BACKGROUND/RATIONALE

 Hyperprolactinemia is a common laboratory finding in a population of men with symptomatic hypogonadism or infertility

• If serum prolactin (PRL) is above the upper limit of normal on two laboratory analyses, current practice is further workup with a pituitary magnetic resonance imaging (pitMRI). However, this practice pattern may lead to unnecessary healthcare costs secondary to the overutilization of pitMRI.

 Recent data has suggested serum prolactin:testosterone (PRL/T) ratio may predict pitMRI findings. We sought to examine the cost savings associated with utilizing combinations of serum PRL and serum PRL/T to predict pitMRI positive findings and obviate the need for unnecessary pitMRI.

METHODS

• A retrospective cohort study was performed on hypogonadal men <75 years old with mild hyperprolactinemia (15.1-50 ng/mL) who underwent pitMRI between 10/1999 and 03/2019 at a single tertiary care center

• Baseline clinicodemographic (symptoms, age, BMI, medications) and laboratory variables (PRL, T, LH, FSH, SCr) were collected

• Exclusion criteria included a prior pituitary adenoma or symptoms concerning for a pituitary abnormality (e.g. visual changes, galactorrhea)

•Receiver Operating Characteristics and Area Under the Curve metrics were created from fitted binomial distributions.

•A cost analysis was performed based on the institutional cost of: pitMRI, serum PRL and serum T.

CONCLUSIONS

• The combination of serum PRL and PRL/T correctly predicts the vast majority of pituitary adenomas in patients with mild hyperprolactinemia.

•Further, this laboratory combination avoids a substantial proportion of unnecessary pitMRIs, resulting in a significant healthcare cost savings.

•Future clinical guidelines should consider incorporating a screening threshold using serum PRL and PRL/T prior to ordering pitMRI for mild hyperprolactinemia.

@CleClinicUro

Department of Urology, Glickman Urological and Kidney Institute; ²Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine; ³Cleveland Clinic, Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism

- 141 men m were found
- Of the vario PRL/T:
- PRL/T >
- PRL/T >sensitivit
- Cost savin (Table 2).

Cutoff

 $PRL/T \ge 0.2$

 $PRL/T \ge 0.10 \text{ or}$ 25

 $PRL/T \ge 0.08 \text{ or}$ 25

Nicholas Farber¹, MD; Anup Shah, MD¹; Darren Bryk, MD¹; Bryan Naelitz, BA²; Neel Parekh, MD¹; Betul Hatipoglu, MD³; Daniel Shoskes, MD¹; Sarah Vij, MD¹

RESULTS

met inclusion criteria. Pituitary adenomas	Table 1: Optimal Screening Thresholds for Identifying Pituitary Pathologies					
nd on pitMRI in 40 men (28.3%). rious combinations of serum PRL and	Cutoff	# Adenomas Correctly Captured (Sensitivity)	# Without Adenoma Avoiding MRI (Specificity)	Positive Screen	Negative Screen	
> 0.10 had the greatest specificity						
> 0.08 OR PRL > 25 had the greatest vity (Table 1)	PRL/T ≥ 0.10	32/40 (80%)	65/101 (64%)	68/141 (48%)	73/141 (52%)	
ngs were significant for all combinations	PRL/T ≥ 0.10 or PRL ≥ 25	36/40 (90%)	48/101 (48%)	89/141 (63%)	52/141 (37%)	
	PRL/T ≥ 0.08 or PRL ≥ 25	39/40 (98%)	31/101 (31%)	109/141 (77%)	32/141 (23%)	

Table 2: Impact of Screening Thresholds on Costs of Identifying Pituitary Pathologies

	Expense associated with:						
	Positive Screen	Negative Screen	Testing Cohort	Identifying Each Lesion	Missing Each Lesion	Percent Savings	
.10	\$161,228	\$24,747	\$185,975	\$5,812	\$18,542	44.4%	
or PRL ≥	\$211,019	\$17,628	\$228,647	\$6,351	\$26,416	31.6%	
or PRL ≥	\$258,439	\$10,848	\$269,287	\$6,905	\$65,024	19.4%	